



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/652,090 08/31/2000		ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Haruo Kodama 93		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
		8/31/2000			9369-51US(T37-124467M/TH) 2837		
570	7590	04/15/2003					
AKIN GUMP STRAUSS HAUER & FELD L.L.P. ONE COMMERCE SQUARE 2005 MARKET STREET, SUITE 2200 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-7013					EXAMINER		
					LOPEZ, FRANK D		
					3745	13	
·				DATE MAILED: 04/15/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.0						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/652,090	KODAMA ET AL.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit						
	F. Daniel Lopez	3745						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application in the same of this application in the same of the sa	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in						
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $	te of the final rejection.							
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). 	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	g date of the final rejection.	n					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Off timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 to 1.25 cm.	of extension and the corresponding amount of the shortened statutory period for reply fice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment						
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NOT place the						
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bedraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or b) will be entered and an						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

10. Other: ____

F. Daniel Lopez Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3745

explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

Claim(s) allowed: ____.
Claim(s) objected to: ____.
Claim(s) rejected: ____.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not supported by the specificaton. One of ordinary skill in the fluid control art would know how to control different elements using either an open control loop or a closed control loop. Since the prior art pressure relief valves are controlled by an open control loop and since applicant does not disclose how the pressure relief valve is controlled using the supply pressure; one of ordinary skill in this art would expect applicant's pressure relief valve to be controlled using an open control loop. If applicant has a reference showing a closed control loop, or any other control system, using the supply pressure, to control a pressure relief valve, which controls a pump pressure; then applicant can add this to the disclosure, but then the claims would probably be rejected under art. Otherwise, the specification is not enabling for the pressure relief valve being controlled using the supply pressure. Note that without some type of support, adding a statement as to how the supply pressure is used to control the pressure relief valve would be considered new matter.